

(SRI T. CHANNIAH.)

Hanumanthapur in anticipation of sanction of Government and the remaining one is under investigation.

S. No. 1/2 of Aralihalli village in Hosadurga Taluk

Q.—728. Sri G. BASAPPA (Hosadurga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when the S. No. 1/2 of Aralihalli village in Hosadurga Taluk was acquired and the extent so acquired ;

(b) whether the opinion of the Health Officer was taken before acquiring ;

(c) whether it is a fact that 20 acres of that land in the eastern side is marshy and unfit for village extension ;

(d) whether any objections are received from majority of people ;

(e) whether the Sub-Division Officer has inspected the spot recently and if so, what is his opinion ;

(f) whether the Village Panchayet has taken the possession of the land and any lay-out is made ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) An extent of 4 acres of land in S. No. 1/2 and 2 acres of land in S.No. 92/2 of Aralihalli has been acquired for village extension.

(b) Yes.

(c) This will be looked into.

(d) No.

(e) No.

(f) It is reported to have been taken possession of by the Village Panchayet.

Privy Purse to the Mysore Rajpramukh

Q.—827. Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

what is the Privy Purse paid to the Rajpramukh now and what was the amount paid to him as Privy Purse

before the Constitution of India came into force ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

The Privy Purse payable by the Centre from 1st April 1950 is Rs. 26 lakhs per annum. Immediately prior to the Constitution, i.e., for 1949-50 (9 months) it was Rs. 19.50 lakhs, which works out to Rs. 26 lakhs per annum.

Mayo Hall Building.

Q.—932. Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) under whose charge is the Mayo Hall building where the Government Courts are situated ;

(b) who is the authority to maintain the building ;

(c) what is the fund allotted every year for the upkeep of this building ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) The District and Sessions Judge, Civil Station.

(b) The Public Works Department.

(c) Rs. 3,000.

Business of the House.

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I want to discuss about the provisional programme for the rest of the Session. The other day we came to a tentative conclusion that we should adjourn on the 3rd and meet again on the 8th. There seems to be a feeling on the part of some members that it is better to adjourn on the 2nd so that they may be in their respective places on the 3rd to make necessary arrangements for the feast on the 4th. It seems the Malnad Conference at Shimoga is likely to continue on the 8th also. So, I feel that we can be back here on the 9th instead of 8th, Sir. I therefore suggest that we may adjourn on the 2nd and meet on the 9th April.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—There is Amavasya on the 2nd also, Sir. It is better the meeting is closed on the 2nd evening alone, and that we meet again on the 9th.

Sri B. MADHAVACHAR (Bhadra-vati).—On the 8th we have the Malnad Conference, Sir. It is better we meet on the 9th.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will hear the Hon'ble the Leader of the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—Sir, the other day this point was raised and everybody agreed to the dates already fixed. It would not be very healthy to go on continuously raising these points for revision. Anyway, I feel that we can adjourn on the date already fixed. I have no objection, if the Hon'ble Members wish to meet on the 9th.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So, we sit in the morning on the third and reassemble on the ninth at 12 NOON. Government resolutions will be taken up first. From 9th onwards we will take up Government Bills and Non-official Resolutions.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL, 1954.

Recommendation by the Rajpramukh to introduce and move.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received an order from the Rajpramukh which reads as follows :

“In pursuance of clause 2 of Article 207 of the Constitution of India, I, Jaya Chamaraja Wadiyar, Rajpramukh of Mysore, do hereby recommend that the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill be introduced and moved in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.”

I have received a similar recommendation regarding Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Bill.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL, 1954.

Introduction.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I introduce the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1954, which has been published in the *Mysore Gazette* Extraordinary dated 29th

March 1954 under rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 3) BILL, 1954.

Introduction.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I introduce the Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1954, which has been published in the *Mysore Gazette* Extraordinary dated 29th March 1954 under rule 48 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now consideration of these Appropriation Bills. We shall fix some time limit: till 4 P.M. we shall take up the (No. 2) Bill and the (No. 3) from 4 to 5.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 2) BILL, 1954.

Motion to consider.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1954, be taken into consideration.”

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I beg to oppose the Mysore Appropriation Bill (No. 2). I expected that the Chief Minister would give us some reasons for moving this Bill and also would explain to the House the circumstances under which he has been asking for our approval for this enormous sum. But, he has introduced this as if it is a formal affair. Anyhow, I have to oppose it on various grounds. Sir, I oppose this Bill as a protest, mainly against the financial policy that has been adopted by the Government which